

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER | FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

08/414,281

03/31/95 OVADIA

85M1/0523

JOSEPH A. FISCHETTI PERMAN AND GREEN 425 POST ROAD FAIRFIELD, CT 06430

0VVA-2-/-279 EXAMINER SHEPPERD, J ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 6 2514 DATE MAILED: 05/23/96

This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application. COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

SUPLAMENTAL

This application has been examined Responsive to communication filed on	This action is made final.
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire TITEE month(s), days from the date of this letter.	
Part I THE FOLLOWING ATTACHMENT(S) ARE PART OF THIS ACTION:	
1. Notice of References Cited by Examiner, PTO-892. 2. Notice of Draftsman's Pa 3. Notice of Art Cited by Applicant, PTO-1449. 4. Notice of Informal Patent 5. Information on How to Effect Drawing Changes, PTO-1474. 6.	tent Drawing Review, PTO-948. Application, PTO-152.
Part II SUMMARY OF ACTION	
1. 🔯 Claims 1-26	are pending in the application.
Of the above, claims are	withdrawn from consideration.
2. Claims_	_ have been cancelled.
3. 🔀 Claims /-/ (_ are allowed.
4. 国 Ctalms 17-26	
5. Claims	_ are objected to.
6. Claims are subject to restriction	on or election requirement.
7. This application has been filled with informal drawings under 37 C.F.R. 1.85 which are acceptable for examination purposes.	
8. Formal drawings are required in response to this Office action.	
 The corrected or substitute drawings have been received on Under 37 C.F.R. 1.84 these drawings are acceptable; and acceptable (see explanation or Notice of Draftsman's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948). 	
The proposed additional or substitute sheet(s) of drawings, filed on has (have) been examiner; disapproved by the examiner (see explanation).	☐ approved by the
1. The proposed drawing correction, filed, has beenapproved; disapproved	(see explanation).
2. Acknowledgement is made of the claim for priority under 35 U.S.C. 119. The certified copy has been no been filed in parent application, serial no; filed on	eceived not been received
3. Since this application apppears to be in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.	
4. Other	

EXAMINER'S ACTION

PTOL-326 (Rev. 2/93)

-2-

Serial Number: 08/414,281

Art Unit: 2514

1. This is supplemental to the Office Action mailed 4 April 1996 paper # 4. During the preparation of the PCT the examiner noted that there was an error in the grouping of claims. Claims 18-20 which depend from rejected claim 17 were erroneously indicated as allowable rather than being rejected. Any difficulty is regretted.

2. Claims 17-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over either the well known prior art, the "Eyeing the consumer" article or Dinerstein in view of the disclosed prior art in Tai for the reasons set forth in paragraph 4 of the last Office Action paper # 4. Regarding claims 18-20, using a name or address is an obvious way to track or identify a specific consumer or household.

3. Claims 1-16 are allowed for the reasons set forth in paragraph 5 of the last Office Action paper # 4.

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John Shepperd whose telephone number is (703) 308-0091.

John Shepperd May 10, 1996 JOHN SHEPPERD
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 2500